

CINCINNATI, OH 45224

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 11/19/2003 4981C2C 7301 10/717,098 Donald Carroll Roe **EXAMINER** 12/01/2005 27752 7590 THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY STEPHENS, JACQUELINE F INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 161 6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE 3761

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/717,09	В	ROE, DONALD CARROLL	
		Examiner		Art Unit	
		Jacqueline	F. Stephens	3761	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1)					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 November 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2/11/04 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:					

Aphlication/Control Number: 10/717,098

Art \(\mathbb{I}\)nit: 3761

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-20 of U.S. Patent No. 6414215 in view of Roe USPN 5342338. Although the conflicting claims are not

Application/Control Number: 10/717,098

Art Unit: 3761

identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 1-20 in '215 are directed to a disposable absorbent article comprising a fecal material storage element intermediate a topsheet and backsheet for storing low viscosity fecal material, and the claims for the present invention are directed to a disposable absorbent article comprising a fecal material storage element intermediate a topsheet and backsheet for storing low viscosity fecal material. The applicant has recited in both the patented claim and the present invention the disposable absorbent article has a trans-topsheet capacity greater than about 0.20 grams per square inch. While the present invention does not specifically claim the topsheet is hydrophobic, Roe '338 teaches a topsheet having the claimed trans-topsheet capacity, which is preferably hydrophobic for the benefit of isolating the wearer's skin from liquids contained in the absorbent core (col. 8, lines 7-19). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a hydrophobic topsheet for the benefits disclosed in Roe.

Page 3

4. Claims 1-20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-20 of U.S. Patent No. 6680422 in view of Roe USPN 5342338. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 1-20 in '422 are directed to a disposable absorbent article comprising a fecal material storage element intermediate a topsheet and backsheet for storing low viscosity fecal material, and the claims for the present invention are directed to a disposable absorbent article comprising a fecal material storage element intermediate a topsheet and backsheet for

storing low viscosity fecal material. The applicant has recited in both the patented claim and the present invention the disposable absorbent article has a trans-topsheet capacity greater than about 0.20 grams per square inch. While the present invention does not specifically claim the topsheet is hydrophobic, Roe '338 teaches a topsheet having the claimed trans-topsheet capacity, which is preferably hydrophobic for the benefit of isolating the wearer's skin from liquids contained in the absorbent core (col. 8, lines 7-19). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a hydrophobic topsheet for the benefits disclosed in Roe.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacqueline F. Stephens whose telephone number is (571) 272-4937. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tanya Zalukaeva can be reached on (571) 272-1115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/717,098

Art Unit: 3761

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business-Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

queline F Stephens

Examiner

November 28, 2005